

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

**PREFACE** ..... vii

**INTRODUCTION** ..... 1

**CHAPTER 1 POLICING THROUGH TRANSITION** ..... 7

1. Introduction ..... 7

2. Soviet Policing and Political Control ..... 9

    2.1 The System of Control ..... 9

    2.2 Repressive Control and the Secret Police ..... 11

        2.2.1 Functions of the KGB ..... 12

        2.2.2 Modus Operandi ..... 12

    2.3 The Police ..... 14

        2.3.1 Duties and Style ..... 14

        2.3.2 Organization ..... 16

    2.4 Differences Between States ..... 17

3. Transition ..... 18

    3.1 Problems of Transition in the Soviet Union ..... 18

    3.2 The Role of the Militia During Transition ..... 20

    3.3 The Institutional Rupture ..... 20

        3.3.1 Political De-Institutionalization ..... 21

        3.3.2 Crisis Policing ..... 22

        3.3.3 Towards Stability ..... 23

    3.4 The Directions of Transition ..... 24

        3.4.1 Democracy and Market Economy ..... 24

        3.4.2 Communist and Authoritarian Rule ..... 25

        3.4.3 Continued Instability ..... 26

        3.4.4 A Side Effect of Transition: Growth of Crime ..... 26

    3.5 Initial Police Reactions: Resistance, Adaptation,  
        and Continuity ..... 28

        3.5.1 Forceful Support of the New Regime ..... 28

        3.5.2 Violent Resistance to Change ..... 28

        3.5.3 Negotiated Revolution ..... 29

        3.5.4 Adaptation ..... 30

        3.5.5 Continuity ..... 30

4. Directions of Police Development ..... 31

    4.1 Crisis Policing ..... 31

    4.2 Authoritarian Policing ..... 32

4.3	Democratic Policing .....	33
4.4	Human Rights-Oriented Policing .....	35

## **CHAPTER 2 DEVELOPMENT, REFORM, AND POLICING IN POST-COMMUNIST SOCIETIES ..... 39**

1.	Introduction .....	39
2.	Sources of Soviet Policing .....	40
2.1	Lithuanian Policing Under Russian and Soviet Occupation .....	41
3.	Police and Criminal Justice Reform in Russia and Lithuania ..	43
3.1	Russian Criminal Justice Reforms .....	43
3.1.1	Remaining Practices: No-Acquittal Doctrine and Crime Policies .....	45
3.2	Russian Police Reform .....	49
3.3	Lithuanian Police Reform .....	50
4.	Police Legitimacy and Obstacles .....	52
4.1	Public Trust and Police Legitimacy .....	53
4.1.1	Public Trust and Police Legitimacy in Lithuania ..	53
4.1.2	Public Trust and Police Legitimacy in Perm .....	55
5.	Organizational Issues .....	59
5.1	Organizational Problems in Lithuania .....	59
5.1.1	Recruitment and Police Training .....	61
5.1.2	Police Performance: Organizational Requi- rements and Impediments .....	62
5.2	Organizational Problems in Perm .....	63
5.2.1	Recruitment and Police Training .....	66
5.2.2	Police Performance: Organizational Demands, Requirements, and Impediments .....	67
5.3	Some Policing Experiences .....	69
6.	The Russian Criminal Procedure Code (2002) .....	71

## **CHAPTER 3 POLICE-PUBLIC VIOLENCE IN COMPARATIVE PERSPECTIVE ..... 75**

1.	Introduction .....	75
2.	Police Use of Force in Different Situations in Lithuania and Perm .....	76
2.1	Differences Between Patrol Service and Traffic Police ...	78
2.2	Police Violence in Police Stations .....	79
2.2.1	Torture .....	82

3.	Methods of Police Use of Force in Lithuania and Perm .....	83
3.1	Police Use of Firearms in Lithuania .....	85
3.2	Police Use of Firearms in Perm .....	88
4.	Justifications and Legality of Lithuanian and Perm Police Use of Force .....	90
4.1	Legal Principles .....	90
4.2	Police Assessment of Own Use of Force .....	91
4.3	Legality of Police Use of Force and Justifications Offered ..	92
5.	Violence and Aggression Against the Police in Lithuania and Perm .....	96
5.1	Aggression and Threats .....	97
5.2	Violence Against Perm and Lithuanian Police .....	98
5.3	Life-Threatening Situations .....	99
5.4	Some Situational Dynamics and Comparisons .....	101
5.4.1	Traffic Controls .....	101
5.4.2	Conflict Interventions .....	102
5.4.3	Arrests, Transports, and Police Stations .....	103
5.5	Police Perception of Risk .....	104
5.6	Violence and Non-Violence Related Injuries .....	105
6.	Comparing Levels of Police-public Violence .....	106
6.1	Situational Comparisons of the Direction of Police-Public Violence .....	106
6.2	Some Comparisons with Bulgaria, Romania, and Poland ..	107

#### **CHAPTER 4 POLICE CONTROL AND ACCOUNTABILITY IN LITHUANIA AND RUSSIA .....**

1.	Introduction .....	113
2.	Human Rights Law and Operational Criteria .....	114
3.	Accountability and Control in Lithuania .....	117
3.1	Lithuanian National Law .....	117
3.2	Governmental and Institutional Control of the Police ..	119
3.2.1	Institutional Changes Since Lithuanian Independence .....	119
3.2.2	The General Inspectorate: New Style .....	120
3.2.3	Current Trends .....	121
3.3	The Lithuanian Complaint System .....	121
3.3.1	Filing Complaints .....	122
3.3.2	Police Views and Experiences of the Lithuanian Proceedings .....	123

3.4	Assessing the Lithuanian System of Accountability . . . . .	126
3.4.1	Coping Strategies . . . . .	127
4.	Accountability and Control in Russia . . . . .	128
4.1	Russian National Law . . . . .	128
4.2	Governmental and Institutional Control of the Police . .	130
4.2.1	Control of the Police: Torture . . . . .	132
4.2.2	Medical Access and the Role of the Judiciary . . .	133
4.2.3	The Role of the Judiciary Under the New CPC . .	134
4.2.4	Medical Access Under the New CPC . . . . .	135
4.3	The Russian Complaint System . . . . .	136
4.3.1	Russia's Criminal Investigation Procedure . . . . .	137
4.3.2	Criminal Investigation of Complaints in Perm . .	141
4.3.3	Internal Police Proceedings . . . . .	143
4.3.4	Disciplinary Proceedings . . . . .	144
4.3.5	Possibilities for Appeal . . . . .	145
4.4	Perm Police Views and Experiences in Comparative Perspective . . . . .	146
4.5	Assessing the Russian System of Accountability . . . . .	148

## **CHAPTER 5 A COMPARISON OF POLICE CONTROL AND ACCOUNTABILITY . . . . . 151**

1.	Introduction . . . . .	151
2.	Accountability and Control in Romania . . . . .	151
2.1	Romanian National Law . . . . .	151
2.2	The Romanian Complaint System . . . . .	153
2.2.1	Filing Complaints . . . . .	153
2.2.3	Disciplinary and Criminal Proceedings . . . . .	154
2.2.4	Impediments in Criminal Investigations: Medical Evidence . . . . .	156
2.3	Assessing the Romanian System of Accountability . . . . .	157
3.	Accountability and Control in Bulgaria . . . . .	159
3.1	Bulgarian National Law . . . . .	159
3.2	The Bulgarian Complaint System . . . . .	161
3.2.1	Filing Complaints . . . . .	161
3.2.2	Disciplinary and Criminal Proceedings . . . . .	163
3.2.3	Impediments to Criminal Investigations . . . . .	165
3.2.4	Medical Examination and Forensic Medical Reports . . . . .	166
3.3	Assessing the Bulgarian System of Accountability . . . . .	166
4.	Accountability and Control in Poland . . . . .	168
4.1	Polish National law . . . . .	168

4.2	The Polish Complaint System .....	171
4.2.1	Filing Complaints .....	171
4.2.2	Disciplinary and Criminal Proceedings .....	173
4.3	Assessing the Polish System of Accountability .....	174
5.	Accountability and Control in Mongolia .....	176
5.1	Mongolian National Law .....	176
5.2	The Mongolian Complaint System .....	178
5.2.1	Filing Complaints .....	178
5.2.2	Disciplinary and Criminal Proceedings .....	180
5.3	Assessing the Mongolian System of Accountability .....	181
6.	Conclusions on Country Comparisons .....	182
6.1	Accessibility .....	183
6.2	Effectiveness and Independence .....	184
6.2.1	Effectiveness: Conditions for Successful Investigations .....	185
6.2.2	Effectiveness: Criminal Convictions and Impunity .....	186
6.2.3	Independence: A Complex Nexus with Effectiveness .....	188
7.	Internal Control .....	189
7.1	Internal Insight .....	190
7.2	Internal Relations and Internal Control .....	191

## **CHAPTER 6 POLICING TOWARDS STABILITY .....** 193

1.	The Legitimacy Gap: A Long-term Problem .....	193
1.1	Political Primacy .....	193
1.2	Democratic Policing .....	194
1.2.1	Trust and Cooperation .....	195
1.2.2	Legal Reform .....	198
1.3	Scope, Nature, and Trajectory of the Problem .....	199
2.	Developing Democratic and Human Rights-oriented Policing .....	202
3.	Police-public Violence: the Struggle for Legitimacy and Professionalism .....	206
3.1	Current Levels of Police-Public Violence .....	206
3.2	Some Violence-Enhancing Organizational Characteristics .....	208
3.3	Control of Police-Public Violence .....	209
4.	The Direction and Potential of Accountability and Control .....	210
4.1	Assessment of Post-Communist Accountability Systems .....	211
4.2	Adequately Dealing with Police Human Rights Violations .....	212

<b>APPENDIX 1 RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE PROJECT PARTICIPANTS .....</b>	<b>217</b>
<b>APPENDIX 2 METHODOLOGICAL CONSIDERATIONS .....</b>	<b>219</b>
1. The Lithuanian and Perm Studies .....	219
1.1 Choice of Location .....	219
1.2 Choice of Police .....	220
1.3 The Field Work .....	221
1.4 The OMCT Research Data .....	221
2. Methodological Concerns .....	221
2.1 Lithuanian Group Interviews .....	222
2.2 Perm Group Interviews .....	223
2.3 Lithuanian Survey Data .....	223
2.4 Perm Survey Data .....	224
2.5 Validity of the Survey Data .....	224
2.6 Country Comparisons: Accountability and Control ....	225
<b>APPENDIX 3 PROTOCOL .....</b>	<b>226</b>
<b>APPENDIX 4 SURVEY RESULTS LITHUANIAN POLICE AND PERM POLICE .....</b>	<b>229</b>
<b>APPENDIX 5 .....</b>	<b>239</b>
<b>BIBLIOGRAFIE .....</b>	<b>243</b>