PREFACE	xiii
ABBREVIATIONS	χv
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
I. The Problem of State Immunity in General	2
II. State Acts Constituting Torts under Domestic Law	4
III. The Scope and Objective of this Study	7
IV. Reasons for State Immunity	9
1. Overview	9
2. State Immunity and Sovereignty	11
V. History and Development of Sovereign Immunity	14
1. The Origins of the Immunity Concept	14
2. The Absolute Doctrine of Immunity	
3. The Restrictive Doctrine of Immunity	17
a) General Overview	
b) Early Developments of a Torts Exception to Immunity	
4. An Attempt to Explain the Shift	20
VI. Immunity from Adjudication and Immunity from Attachment and Execution	22
VII. State Immunity and Related Immunities and Privileges	24
1. Immunity of International Organisations	24
2. Diplomatic and Consular Immunity	
3. Immunity of Heads-of-state	29
4. Immunity of States as Occupational Powers	32
VIII. Related Concepts	33
1. Jurisdiction.	34
a) The General Concept of Jurisdiction in International Law	
b) Immunity and Jurisdiction	37

2. The Act-of-State Doctrine	41
a) Scope and Content of the Act-of-State Doctrine	42
b) The Torture Victim Protection Act of 1991	44
3. Immunity and Criminal Jurisdiction	45
4. The Alien Tort Claims Act in the United States	46
CHAPTER 2: THE CURRENT LEGAL SITUATION	51
I. National Statutes on Sovereign Immunity and their Application in	
Court Practice	51
1. The FSIA of the United States	51
a) General Outline	53
b) Tortious Conduct under the FSIA	58
(1) Torts and the Gestionis/Imperii-Distinction	58
(2) Territorial Nexus and the Relationship Tort-Commercial	
Activity	60
(a) Frolova v. Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	61
(b) Cases against Iran	62
(c) Nelson v. Saudi Arabia	64
(3) The FSIA and Violations of International Law	68
(a) The FSIA's Expropriation Exception	69
(b) A Genuine Immunity Exception for Human Rights	
Violations?	74
(aa) Von Dardel v. Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	. 74
(66) Hugo Princz v. Federal Republic of Germany	76
c) Evaluation	83
2. The SIA of the United Kingdom	84
a) General Outline	86
b) The Torts Exception	QQ
c) Human Rights Violations: The Al-Adsani case	93
d) Evaluation	95
3. Australia	96
4. Canada	98
5. Other Countries	100
a) Argentina	100 100
b) Singapore	100 107
c) South Africa.	102 102
d) Pakistan	103

II. Jurisprudence in Countries without Immunity Statutes	. 103
1. Germany	.104
a) General Outline	. 104
b) Immunity and Torts	
c) Evaluation	. 108
2. France	. 109
a) General Outline	
b) Immunity and Torts	
c) Evaluation	. 111
3. Other Countries	
a) Austria	
b) Switzerland	
c) Italy	
d) Spain	. 117
III. The European Convention on State Immunity	. 119
IV. Other Conventions and Treaties	.121
V. Draft Proposals for Multilateral Conventions	.125
1. The International Law Commission	.125
a) General Outline	
b) The Torts Exception	
c) Evaluation	.128
2. The International Law Association	.128
a) General Outline of the ILA's 1982 Montreal Draft as Revised	
in Buenos Aires 1994	
b) The Torts Exception	
(1) Art. III F MD	
(2) Art. III G MD	
c) Evaluation	
3. The Basel Draft of the Institut de Droit International	
a) General Outline	
b) The Torts Exception	
c) Evaluation	. 130
4. The Inter-American Draft Convention on Jurisdictional	100
Immunity of States	
a) General Outline	
b) The Torts Exception	
-, - · wassition	. iJa

VI. Conclusion	138
CHAPTER 3: DEVELOPING THE CASE AGAINST IMMUNITY	143
I. The Protection of Individuals in International Law	144
1. The Treatment of Aliens	144
2. Fundamental Human Rights as Jus cogens	
3. The Individual as a Subject of International Law	148
4. Direct Applicability of International Law	148
II. Practical Effects of the Increased Significance of Human Rights and the Individual in other Areas of International Law	
1. Diplomatic Protection	150
a) I diction and Scope	151
o) The Finiciples of Nationality and Exhaustion of Legal	
**************************************	153
c) Conclusion	158
2. The European Convention on Human Rights	160
a) Introduction	160
b) General Remarks on the Scope and Procedure of the ECHR c) The ECHR and State Immunity	160
· / oudottoil	1/7
Totallousillo Between State Immunity and the EQUID	
und Flactica	1/7
(") Diciatule	1/1
(-) - 1401100	1//
( ) - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	170
d) The Relationship between the ECHR and State Immunity:	
A Proposal	171
	174
ECHR Additionly called upon in Art. 13	
(a) Conclusion	
COTH	100
Some of Access to a Court	
' ' ' ' Carring Of Civil Rights and Obligation -"	100
(c) Fair Trial and Equality of Arms(3) Result	100
· /	.186

III. Conclusion1	88
CHAPTER 4: RECONCILING HUMAN RIGHTS AND STATE	
IMMUNITY	189
I. Solutions Proposed by Other Authors	189
1. "Implied Waiver" of Immunity for Violations of International	
Law? 1	90
2. Restricting Immunity as a "Reprisal"	192
3. "Forfeiture" of Sovereign Rights1	194
4. Reimann's Proposal in the Wake of the Princz Case	194
II. An Attempt to Reevaluate Fundamental Individual Interests and	
Collective Sovereignty Interests	196
1. Again: State Immunity and Sovereignty	196
a) Option and Risk Calculability as Criteria to Distinguish	
Between Immune and Non-Immune Conduct	197
b) The Optional Element in the Context of Human Rights	
Violations	
c) Risk Calculability in the Context of Human Rights Violations .2	
d) Conclusion	203
2. Different Solutions for Different Types of Human Rights	204
Violations?a) The Relationship Between the Nature and Quantity of the	204
Human Rights Violations and State Immunity	205
b) Conclusion and Application to Specific Cases	
c) Possible Solutions for Borderline Cases	
d) Proposal for a Draft Article on an Exception to Immunity	
from Adjudication in Cases Involving Violations of	
Jus Cogens Human Rights	214
SUMMARY	217
BIBLIOGRAPHY2	225
INDEX	239