

CONTENTS

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	6
LIST OF TABLES	7
LIST OF MAPS	7
LIST OF COUNTRY REPORTERS AND REVIEWERS	8
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	12
1. BACKGROUND AND AIM OF THE STUDY	19
1.1. Definitions, sources and scope of the study	20
1.2. Structure of the study	23
1.3. Methodology	24
2. ANALYSIS OF <i>LOCUS STANDI</i> BEFORE THE CJEU	25
2.1. Introduction	26
2.2. The rationale of standing	26
2.3. The variations in standing before the EU Courts	26
2.4. Third party intervention before the EU Courts	36
2.5. Multi-party litigation at EU level	39
2.6. The protection of public interests before the EU Courts	39
2.7. Beyond the <i>Plaumann</i> orthodoxy: the EU Courts' practice in the application of the <i>Plaumann</i> doctrine	40
2.8. "Individual concern" as a tool for the administration of justice?	44
2.9. Influence of general principles	45
2.10. Accession to the ECHR	46
2.11. The EU and the Aarhus Convention	47
2.12. Conclusion: general strictness with some exceptions	49
3. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF <i>LOCUS STANDI</i> BEFORE NATIONAL CIVIL COURTS	52
3.1. Court system	52
3.2. Specialisation	53
3.3. Definition of a civil claim	53
3.4. Definition, rationale and conditions of <i>locus standi</i>	53
3.5. <i>Locus Standi</i> of public authorities	54
3.6. Standing of entities lacking legal personality	55
3.7. Standing: declaratory and injunctive relief	55

3.8.	<i>Locus standi</i> and third parties to the action	55
3.9.	<i>Locus standi</i> on appeal	56
3.10.	Collective interest litigation and <i>locus standi</i>	57
3.11.	<i>Actio popularis</i> (public interest litigation) and <i>locus standi</i>	60
3.12.	Alternatives to collective interest litigation	60
3.13.	Strictness in the application of <i>locus standi</i> at the national level	61
3.14.	Standing as a tool for the administration of justice?	62
3.15.	Human rights as a basis for standing	62
3.16.	EU law and national <i>locus standi</i> requirements	63
3.17.	Influence of EU law on purely national cases (no cross-border litigation)	63
3.18.	Final remarks: a French particularity	64
4.	COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF <i>LOCUS STANDI</i> BEFORE NATIONAL ADMINISTRATIVE COURTS	65
4.1.	Court systems in administrative law	65
4.2.	Type of administrative action which may be challenged before administrative courts	67
4.3.	Types of remedies available before administrative courts	68
4.4.	General and specialised administrative courts?	68
4.5.	How many instances?	69
4.6.	The rationale of standing	69
4.7.	Variations in standing	74
4.8.	Third party intervention before administrative courts	81
4.9.	Multi-party litigation	81
4.10.	Influence of EU law	82
4.11.	Final remarks	86
5.	COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF <i>LOCUS STANDI</i> OF VICTIMS OF CRIME BEFORE CRIMINAL COURTS	87
5.1.	Court systems in criminal law	87
5.2.	Definition of victims of crime in relation to <i>locus standi</i>	88
5.3.	Different types of standing before a criminal court	91
5.4.	Procedural requirements in standing rules and courts' practice	99
5.5.	Information provided to victims of crime	110
5.6.	Influence of EU law	111
6.	COMPARISONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	113
6.1.	Comparison EU and national level	113

6.2.	Horizontal comparison of findings in civil, criminal and administrative law	114
6.3.	Recommendations	116
REFERENCES		123
ANNEX I – QUESTIONNAIRE FOR COUNTRY REPORTERS ON CIVIL LAW		126
ANNEX II – QUESTIONNAIRE FOR COUNTRY REPORTERS ON ADMINISTRATIVE LAW		129
ANNEX III – QUESTIONNAIRE FOR COUNTRY REPORTERS ON CRIMINAL LAW		132
ANNEX IV – GUIDANCE DOCUMENT FOR COUNTRY REPORTERS		134
ANNEX V – GUIDANCE DOCUMENT FOR COUNTRY REVIEWERS		135
ANNEX VI – COUNTRY REPORTS		136