## Table of contents

Pre	Preface		
Par	Part One Theoretical background		
Ch	Chapter 1 The evolution of the European Ombudsman		
1.1	Pre-Maastricht ombudsman ideas	10	
1.2	Maastricht: European citizenship & ombudsman	12	
1.3	Post Maastricht: implementing measures and election procedure	17	
1.4	Amsterdam: Union competences	20	
1.5	Conclusion - evolution of the European Ombudsman	24	
Cha	apter 2 The Danish ombudsman plan	25	
2.1	Introduction	25	
2.2	Who, what & how	29	
	2.2.1 Who can bring about an ombudsman inquiry?	29	
	2.2.2 What can be investigated?	33	
	2.2.3 How is the inquiry conducted and finalised?	36	
	2.2.3.1 Review criterion	36	
	2.2.3.2 Investigative powers	38	
	2.2.3.3 Ombudsman decisions	39	
	2.2.3.4 Enforcement powers	42	
2.3	Conclusion - Danish ombudsman plan	44	
Cha	npter 3 Supervision of the activities of the Community authorities	47	
3.1	Union legal order	47	
3.2	Normative acts	49	
3.3	General Member State control	57	
3.4	Market regulatory activities	58	
3.5	Decisions affecting the Community budget	60	
3.6	CAP and ESF: examples of indirect administration	65	
	3.6.1 Common agricultural policy	65	
	3.6.2 Structural funds	70	

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

(Cha	oter 3)	
3.7	Internal organisational matters	73
3.8	Conclusion — supervision of Community activities	75
Cha	pter 4 Models of ombudsman	79
4.1	The development of the ombudsman in the Member States	79
4.2	Spectrum of choices	85
	4.2.1 'WHO'?	86
	4.2.2 'WHAT'?	88
	4.2.3 'HOW'?	89
4.3	Models of ombudsman	93
	4.3.1 Control and redress	93
	4.3.2 A Matrix of choice	96
	4.3.3 The Extra-Judicial ombudsman redress model - type A	104
	4.3.4 The Discount alternative ombudsman redress model - type B	105
	4.3.5 The Quango ombudsman control model - type C	107
	4.3.6 The Citizens' ombudsman control model - type D	108
	4.3.7 The Parliamentary ombudsman control model - type E	110
4.4	Conclusion - ombudsman models	112
Pa	t Two Workings of the European Ombudsman	113
Ch	apter 5 The mandate of the European Ombudsman	115
5.1	Admissibility of complaints	115
	5.1.1 The mandate	115
	5.1.1.1 Status required as complainant	115
	5.1.1.2 Activity of a Community Institution or Body	120
	5.1.1.3 Alleged instance of maladministration	126
	5.1.1.4 Judicial activities of Community Courts	132
	5.1.2 Other admissibility criteria	133
	5.1.2.1 Known complainant and understandable complaint	134
	5.1.2.2 Two years rule	134
	5.1.2.3 Administrative approaches	135
	5.1.2.4 Staff complaints: internal remedies	136
	5.1.2.5 Sub judice	137
5 1	Ombudsman's discretion	139
٠.,	5.2.1 Grounds for an inquiry	140
	5.2.2 Own-initiative investigations	142
5	Substance of review	145
5.	Conclusion – mandate of the European Ombudsman	153
٠.		

Ch	apter 6 The institutional position of the European Ombudsman	157
6.1	Who regulates him?	158
6.2	Investigative powers	162
	6.2.1 Vis-à-vis the Community authorities	162
	6.2.2 Vis-à-vis the Member States	166
6.3	Rights and duties of parties to the inquiry	168
6.4	Decision-making powers	173
	6.4.1 No ruling on whether an instance of maladministration has occurred	174
	6.4.2 No maladministration and further remarks	175
	6.4.3 Friendly solution	177
	6.4.4 Critical remark and instructions	180
	6.4.5 (Draft) Recommendation	186
	6.4.6 Conclusions	188
6.5	Enforcing powers	190
6.6	Judicial review	191
	6.6.1 Upholding the balance of powers	192
	6.6.2 As a form of redress	196
6.7	Conclusion - institutional position of the European Ombudsman	200
	apter 7 Complaint handling by the European Ombudsman	203
	General measures	204
	General Member State control	208
	Market regulatory activities	213
	Decisions affecting the Community budget	218
	Factual and organisational matters	226
	Access to documents	228
	Staff matters	233
	Service Contracts	237
7.9	Evaluation of the Ombudsman's complaint handling	240
	pter 8 European Ombudsman and control	247
	Ombudsman creating new standards	248
	8.1.1 Creating standards directly	248
	8.1.2 Creating standards indirectly	250
	8.1.3 Conclusion	252
8.2	Ombudsman and executive rule-making by the Commission	253
	8.2.1 Direct control	254
	8.2.2 Assisting the institutionalised control mechanism	255
	8.2.3 Assisting functional control	257
8.3	Conclusion – European Ombudsman and control	260

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Evaluation							
What is the purpose of a parliamentary ombudsman? Theoretical redress and control problems facing the Union So what is the future: control or redress? Summary of the modifications to the rules governing the Ombudsman that should be considered							
					Annex 1	Draft Treaty Articles European Ombudsman	275
					Annex 2	The rules and regulations governing the activities of the	277
	European Ombudsman (Statute and Implementing Provisions)						
Annex 3	The Statute of the Danish Ombidsman	289					
Annex 4	Bibliography	295					
	A Literature ombudsman and similar	295					
	B Other literature	304					
Annex 5	Case law	323					
Annex 6	Statistics European Ombudsman Office	327					
Annex 7	Index	333					
· ·	lustrations, graphs:	7					
	11 and 195 EC Treaty	32					
	1: Cases 1997 of the Danish ombudsman	33					
	2: Reasons for dismissal of complaints	37					
	imposed by Danish ombudsman	41					
Graph 2-3	3: Issue of complaints to Danish ombudsman	41					
Graph 2-4	4: Outcome of investigations of Danish ombudsman	42					
Graph 2-5	5: Cases of critique by Danish ombudsman	87					
Spectrum	of main choices 'who can bring about an ombudsman inquiry?						
Spectrum	of main choices 'what can be investigated?	89					
Spectrum	of main choices 'how is the inquiry conducted and finalised?	93					
Relations	hip between rule, public authority and individual	96					
Matrix of	choices for redress and control ombudsman	99					
• •	ombudsman models	100					
	theoretical of ombudsman models	103					
	1: Decisions on inquiries of the European Ombudsman	173					
Graph 6-	2: Object of the critical remarks issued by the European	183					
-	oudsman						
	: Nature of decisions by the European Ombudsman	189					
	: Activities examined by the European Ombudsman	204					
	2: Nature of activities of the European Ombudsman	242					
Table 7-3	3: Outcome of inquiries of the European Ombudsman	244					