CONTENTS

Pr	eface .		. v
Bio	graph	ıy Pieter van Dijk	xxi
Bil	bliogra	phy Pieter van Dijkxx	xiii
I.	PIET	TER VAN DIJK	
Pie	eter va	n Dijk: the Person	
	Joke	e van der Meer	. 3
		n Dijk: the Scholar. Recalling a 'Wide-ranging Study of ative Jurisprudence'	
	Jos	Kapteyn	. 9
		n Dijk: the State Councillor and Human Rights Lawyer. itions for Safeguarding Fundamental Rights	
		K Visser and Annerie Verbeek	17
1.	Intro	oduction	17
2.	The	Council of State: Its Tasks and Contexts	18
3.	Acti	ng Vice-President and Chair of the Constitutional Law	
	Com	ımittee	21
4.	Pres	ident of the Administrative Jurisdiction Division	22
	4.1.	Reducing Turnaround Times	23
	4.2.	Accessibility and Predictability of Judgments	26
	4.3.	Judicial 'Lawmaking'	
	4.4.		
		in the Area of Mediation and the Right of Complaint within	
		the Administrative Jurisdiction Division	29
5.	Con	clusion	31

II. STRASBOURG

	Concurring and Dissenting Opinions of Pieter van Dijk as a Judge	
of t	he European Court of Human Rights	_
	Fried VAN HOOF and Leo ZWAAK	35
1.	Introduction 3	35
2.	The Interpretation and Application of Article 6 of the Convention 3	
۷.	2.1. Anonymous Witnesses	
	2.2. Objective Impartiality	
2	2.4. Absence of an Oral Hearing	
3.	Article 8 of the Convention	
	3.1. Transsexualism	
	3.2. Expulsion and the Right to Family Life	
4.	Article 9 of the Convention: Freedom of Religion	
5.	Article 10 of the Convention: Freedom of Expression	
	5.1. Freedom of Expression in a Democratic Society 4	
	5.2. Proportionality 4	
6.	Exhaustion of Domestic Remedies	
7.	Conclusion4	18
Pie	ter van Dijk and His Favourite Strasbourg Judgment. Some Remarks	
	Consensus in the Case Law of the European Court of Human Rights	
•••		
	E.g.Dert Myter	10
	Egbert Myjer 4	19
1.		
1. 2.	Introductory Remark	19
	Introductory Remark	19
2.	Introductory Remark	19 50
2.	Introductory Remark	19 50
2. 3.	Introductory Remark	19 50
2.3.4.	Introductory Remark. 4 The Christine Goodwin Case. 5 The Notion of Consensus and Margin of Appreciation and the Brighton Declaration 5 Interpretation and Application of the Convention 5 The Interpretation Given by the Court also of Importance for Other	19 50 56 57
2.3.4.	Introductory Remark. 4 The Christine Goodwin Case. 5 The Notion of Consensus and Margin of Appreciation and the Brighton Declaration 5 Interpretation and Application of the Convention 5 The Interpretation Given by the Court also of Importance for Other High Contracting Parties 5	19 50 56 57
 2. 3. 4. 5. 	Introductory Remark. 4 The Christine Goodwin Case. 5 The Notion of Consensus and Margin of Appreciation and the Brighton Declaration 5 Interpretation and Application of the Convention 5 The Interpretation Given by the Court also of Importance for Other High Contracting Parties 5 Interpretation Methods Used by the Court 6 Int	19 50 56 57
 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 	Introductory Remark. 4 The Christine Goodwin Case. 5 The Notion of Consensus and Margin of Appreciation and the Brighton Declaration 5 Interpretation and Application of the Convention. 5 The Interpretation Given by the Court also of Importance for Other High Contracting Parties 5 Interpretation Methods Used by the Court 6 Consensus in the Case Law of the Court 6	19 50 56 57 59 50
 2. 3. 4. 5. 7. 	Introductory Remark. 4 The Christine Goodwin Case. 5 The Notion of Consensus and Margin of Appreciation and the Brighton Declaration 5 Interpretation and Application of the Convention 5 The Interpretation Given by the Court also of Importance for Other High Contracting Parties 5 Interpretation Methods Used by the Court 6 Consensus in the Case Law of the Court 6 How Does the Court Determine Consensus? 6	19 50 56 57 59 60 61
 3. 4. 5. 8. 9. 	Introductory Remark. The Christine Goodwin Case. The Notion of Consensus and Margin of Appreciation and the Brighton Declaration Interpretation and Application of the Convention. The Interpretation Given by the Court also of Importance for Other High Contracting Parties. Interpretation Methods Used by the Court Consensus in the Case Law of the Court How Does the Court Determine Consensus? Concluding Remarks	19 50 56 57 59 50
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. Jud	Introductory Remark. 4 The Christine Goodwin Case. 5 The Notion of Consensus and Margin of Appreciation and the Brighton Declaration . 5 Interpretation and Application of the Convention . 5 The Interpretation Given by the Court also of Importance for Other High Contracting Parties . 5 Interpretation Methods Used by the Court . 6 Consensus in the Case Law of the Court . 6 How Does the Court Determine Consensus? . 6 Concluding Remarks . 7 Sicial Minimalism and 'Dependency'. Interpretation of the European	19 50 56 57 59 60 61
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. Jud	Introductory Remark. 4 The Christine Goodwin Case. 5 The Notion of Consensus and Margin of Appreciation and the Brighton Declaration . 5 Interpretation and Application of the Convention. 5 The Interpretation Given by the Court also of Importance for Other High Contracting Parties . 5 Interpretation Methods Used by the Court . 6 Consensus in the Case Law of the Court . 6 How Does the Court Determine Consensus? . 6 Concluding Remarks . 7 Sicial Minimalism and 'Dependency'. Interpretation of the European Invention in a Pluralist Europe	19 50 56 57 59 60 61
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. Jud	Introductory Remark. 4 The Christine Goodwin Case. 5 The Notion of Consensus and Margin of Appreciation and the Brighton Declaration . 5 Interpretation and Application of the Convention. 5 The Interpretation Given by the Court also of Importance for Other High Contracting Parties . 5 Interpretation Methods Used by the Court . 6 Consensus in the Case Law of the Court . 6 How Does the Court Determine Consensus? . 6 Concluding Remarks . 7 Sicial Minimalism and 'Dependency'. Interpretation of the European Invention in a Pluralist Europe	19 50 56 57 59 60 61 69 70
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. Jud	Introductory Remark. The Christine Goodwin Case. The Notion of Consensus and Margin of Appreciation and the Brighton Declaration. Interpretation and Application of the Convention. The Interpretation Given by the Court also of Importance for Other High Contracting Parties. Interpretation Methods Used by the Court. Consensus in the Case Law of the Court. How Does the Court Determine Consensus? Concluding Remarks. Sicial Minimalism and 'Dependency'. Interpretation of the European Invention in a Pluralist Europe Janneke Gerards.	19 50 56 57 59 60 61 69 70
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. Jud	Introductory Remark. 4 The Christine Goodwin Case. 5 The Notion of Consensus and Margin of Appreciation and the Brighton Declaration . 5 Interpretation and Application of the Convention. 5 The Interpretation Given by the Court also of Importance for Other High Contracting Parties . 5 Interpretation Methods Used by the Court . 6 Consensus in the Case Law of the Court . 6 How Does the Court Determine Consensus? . 6 Concluding Remarks . 7 Sicial Minimalism and 'Dependency'. Interpretation of the European Invention in a Pluralist Europe	19 50 56 57 59 60 61 69 70

	2.1.	Autonomous Interpretation and Its Risks	77
	2.2.	'Dependent' Interpretation and the Value of Flexibility	79
		Deliberate Choice for a Non-autonomous Approach	80
		Dependency, or the 'in for a Penny, in for a Pound' Approach	
		Conclusion	
3.	Judio	cial Minimalism: Shallow, Narrow and Analogical Reasoning	83
	3.1.	Shallow Reasoning	
	3.3.	Narrow Reasoning, Analogical Reasoning and General Principles.	86
	3.4.	Disadvantages of Judicial Minimalism	88
	3.5.	Conclusion	89
4.	Con	clusion	90
Sw		and before the European Court of Human Rights	
	Gio	rgio Malinverni	93
		act of the Case Law of the European Court of Human Rights	
on		olitical Debate in the Netherlands concerning the Court	
	Mai	rtin Kuijer	99
1.	Intro	oductory Comments	99
2.		Period of the Sleeping Beauty: 1950 till mid Nineteen Seventies 1	
3.		Court's Awakening: The Nineteen Eighties and Nineties	
4.		Rise of a European Immigration Tribunal: Anno 2012?	
5.		cluding Comments	
	Com	clading comments	12
En	viron	mental Protection under Article 8 of the European Convention	
		an Rights	
		s Drupsteen1	15
	,		
1.	Som	e Recent Cases	
		Deés v. Hungary	
		Ivan Atanasov v. Bulgaria	
2.		cism of the Strasbourg Court	
3.	Envi	ronmental Cases under Article 8 of the Convention	
		Lopez Ostra v. Spain	
		Guerra v. Italy	
		Hatton v. the United Kingdom (the Heathrow case)	
		Kleyn and Others v. the Netherlands (the Betuweroute case) 1	
		Taskin and Others v. Turkey 13	
		Moreno Gómez v. Spain	
		Fadeyeva v. Russia	
		Giacomelli v. Italy	
		Tatar v. Romania	26

4.	Bacila v. Romania Oluic v. Croatia Mileva v. Bulgaria Dubetska and Others v. Ukraine Zammit Maempel v. Malta Di Sarno v. Italy. Conclusion	126 127 127 127 128
	the Obligations to Adequate Judicial Response in Cases under Article 2	
01 (the Convention. Issues of Admissibility Zdravka Kalaydjieva	131
1.		
1.	The Positive Procedural Obligations of National Authorities under Article 2 of the Convention	131
2.	The Rights to Effective Remedy and Access to Court and the Positive	131
	Obligation to Judicial Response	132
3.	Admissibility Criteria	134
	3.1. Manifestly Ill-founded Complaints	134
	3.2. Failure to Exhaust Domestic Remedies	135
	3.3. The Ex Officio Nature of the Investigation and the Requirements	
	of Article 35	136
	3.4. Victim Status in the Convention Proceedings	138
III.	LUXEMBOURG	
Acc Lis	cess to the European Union Courts. Standing in Direct Actions after bon Arjen Meij	141
1.	Introduction	
2.	From Plaumann to Jego-Quéré, UPA and Lisbon	141
3.	First Applications: Inuit and Microban	144
4.	Final Observations	147
The Arc	Role of the European Parliament in the Fundamental Rights	
	Johan van Haersolte and Jan-Kees Wiebenga	
1. 2.	Introduction The European Parliament from the Nineteen Fifties to the Nineteen Eighties: an Actor in Search of a Script. The European Parliament from the Nineteen	
3.	I The authority HOIII HIP MIDELAGE Lively	
4.	Bringing Fundamental Rights Home	161 164

5.	The	European Parliament after Lisbon: Staying Alert	168
6.		ew and Appraisal	
IV.	BE'	TWEEN STRASBOURG, LUXEMBOURG AND THE HAGUE	173
The	Euro	opean Convention on Human Rights and the Charter of	
Fur	ıdam	ental Rights of the European Union: Back to the Roots	
	Kan	niel Mortelmans and Hanna Sevenster	175
1.	Sour	ces of Human Rights: Competition and Cooperation	175
	1.1.	Introduction	
	1.2.	Added Value of the Charter Compared to Other Sources of	
		Human Rights	176
	1.3.	Competition and Cooperation	177
2.	Reth	inking (the Sources of) Human Rights Within the Council	
	of St	ate	178
3.	Hun	nan Rights Addressees	180
	3.1.	The Case Law Before the Entry into Force of the Legally	
		Binding Charter	180
	3.2.	The Case Law After the Entry into Force of the Charter	181
	3.3.	The Evolution of the Administrative Jurisdiction Division's	
		Case Law on Public Authorities Invoking the Convention	182
		The Place of Article 34 of the Convention (the Right of	
		Individual Petition)	184
		The Unique Character of the Convention	185
		The Nature of the 'Public Authority'	185
	3.4.	The Administrative Jurisdiction Division's Case Law Regarding	
		the Charter and Public Authorities	186
		The Right of Application of Public Authorities within the	
		European Union System	
		The Unique Character of the European Union System	
		The Character of the 'Public Authority'	
4.	The A	Ability to Invoke Certain Provisions (Direct Effect)	188
	4.1.	Direct Effect within Union Law	188
	4.2.	Direct Effect and the Constitution of the Netherlands:	
		Convergence?	190
5.		to the Roots	
	5.1.	Pieter van Dijk's Academic Roots	
	5.2.	Back to Pieter van Diik's Academic Roots	195

хi

	Role of the Administrative Jurisdiction Division of the Council
of S	tate in Relation to the European Court of Human Rights
	Jurgen de Poorter
1.	Introduction
2.	The Constitutional Foundation for the Relation Between the Division
	and the Strasbourg Court
3.	Interaction in a Ménage à Trois
4.	More Room for Joint Responsibility Held by the Division and the
	Strasbourg Court
	4.1. Introduction
	4.2. The Strasbourg Court as Injunctive Relief-Granting Judge 203
	4.3. The European Court of Human Rights as Trial Judge
5.	The Meaning of More Dialogue for National Courts
6.	Towards a Preliminary Reference Procedure and a Certiorari System
	at the European Court of Human Rights?
	European Court of Human Rights and the Court of Justice of the
	opean Union: an Imperfect Match? Interaction between both Courts
in t	he Field of Immigration Law
	Aleidus Woltjer
1.	Introduction
2.	The Court of Justice and the European Court of Human Rights on
	Evaluion
3.	The Court of Justice and the European Court of Human Rights on
	Asylum
4.	Epilogue
	223
Fun	damental Rights Protection in Europe before and after Accession of
the	European Union to the European Convention on Human Rights
	Christiaan Timmermans
1.	Introductory Remark
۷.	Relationship between both European Courts
3.	The Charter of Fundamental Rights of the Furguean Union
4.	Accession to the Convention
5.	Thos involvement of the Court of Justice and the Co-respondent
6	Wechanism
6.	ruture Relations between the Court of Justice and the Strasbourg
	Court

V. VENICE

	e Venice Commission Twenty Years On. Challenge Met but New allenges Ahead
	Gianni Buquicchio and Simona Granata-Menghini
1. 2.	The Original Challenge
2. 3.	The Venice Commission's Advice
<i>3</i> . 4.	The Venice Commission's Role
4. 5.	The Venice Commission's Future
6.	Pieter van Dijk's Contribution to the Venice Commission
The	e Venice Commission and the Protection of Human Rights
	Finola Flanagan
1.	Introduction
2.	Freedom of Assembly
	2.1. Advance Notification 261
	2.2. Location
	2.3. Blanket Restrictions
	2.4. Guaranteeing Human Rights
	2.5. Review and Appeal
VI.	THE KINGDOM OF THE NETHERLANDS IN EUROPE D OVERSEAS
AN	D OV ERSEAS
	ndamental Rights in the Countries of the Kingdom of the Netherlands: ity or Diversity?
011	Jaime Saleh
1. 2.	Introduction
3.	Human Rights
	the Netherlands
4.	Unity in Diversity
	ncordance in Administrative Law and the Joint Court of Justice of 1ba, Curaçao, Sint Maarten and of Bonaire, Sint Eustatius and Saba
	Ton Bijloos, Rob Loeb and Joop Drop
1.	Introduction
2.	The Principle of Concordance

3.	The Principle of Concordance and the Lar Court	,
	3.1. <i>Ne-Bis-In-Idem</i> Principle	
	3.2. Brummen	
	3.3. Compensation Orders	
	3.4. Analogue Application Not Acceptable in Cases Where the	
	General Administrative Law Act and Lar Differ 287	
	3.5. Article 20 of Chapter 6 of the General Administrative Law Act 287	
	3.6. Article 22 of Chapter 6 of the General Administrative Law Act 288	
	3.7. Articles 18 and 19 of Chapter 6 of the General Administrative	
	Law Act	
4.	Amendment of the Lar Netherlands Antilles	
5.	Administrative Procedure on the BES Islands	
6.	Conclusion	
Ih	e Customisation Principle in the Council of State's Advisory Opinions	
	Rein-Jan Hoekstra and Arnold Weggeman	
1.	Introduction	
2.	Customisation Within One Legal Order	
3.	Customisation in the International Context	
4.	Customisation in European Cooperation	
5.	Customisation in Regard to Cooperation Within One Kingdom	
	Country	
6.	Conclusion	
VI.	ON PRINCIPLES AND RIGHTS FROM VARIOUS PERSPECTIVES	
71.		
Inc Mai	Status of General Principles of Law in the Legal Practice of the	
Ne	therlands. Some Observations	
	Evert Alkema	
l.	Introduction	
2.	The Concept of Legal Principles	
3.		
4.	The state of the Legal Filliciples or General Drimainian Cr	
	Recognized by Civilized Nations'	
5.		
	and Doctrine	
6.		
7.		
	- sos judgments	
3.	Principles in the Legal Practice of some Special Fields of Dutch Law 320	

9.	Concluding Remarks	325
	9.1. Can Principles Set Aside Acts of Parliament?	325
	9.2. Is Constitutional Change Necessary?	326
Th	e Development of General Principles of Good Governance in Dutch	
Ad	lministrative Case Law	
	Jaap Polak	329
1.	Introduction	329
2.	The Compensation Idea	330
3.	General Principles Before General Administrative Jurisdiction:	
	Emphasis on Substantive Requirements of Decisions	331
4.	Review Against General Principles of Good Governance after the	
	Arrival of General Administrative Jurisdiction: Dominance of	
	the Principle of Careful Preparation and the Duty to Give Sufficient	
_	Reasons.	
5.	The Juridification Discussion: the Van Kemenade Report (1996)	339
6.	Parallels with the Development of Review Against Provisions from	
_	the European Convention on Human Rights?	
7.	Finality as Solution?	343
тh	e Principle of Legality Revisited	
111	Piet Hein Donner	3/15
	TIET TEIN DONNER	343
1.	Introduction	345
2.	Thirty Years of Debate	346
3.	Regulation and Legislation	347
4.	A Self Sustaining Process	349
5.	The Principle of Legality	350
6.	The Principle Revisited	353
Fu	ndamental Rights and Principles from an Attorney's Perspective	
	Arjen van Rijn	359
1.	Introduction	359
2.	Assistance of an Attorney as Another's Fundamental Right	360
3.	Where the Subjective Rights of the Client and of the Attorney Meet:	
	Attorney-Client Privilege	364
4.	The Attorney's Freedom of Expression	367
5.	The Attorney as a Subject of Fundamental Rights	
6.	Fundamental Rights as a Ground of Dispute	370
7.	Do Attorneys Have a Public Function?	371
8.	Conclusion	374

	e Inter-American Commission of Human Rights and the
Int	er-American Court. New Rules for Individual Communications
	Cecilia Medina Quiroga
1.	Introduction
2.	A Glimpse at History
3.	The Changes in the Rules of Procedure of Court and Commission
	before 2009
4.	The 2009 Amendments of the Rules of Procedure of the Inter-American
	Commission of Human Rights and the Inter-American Court;
	the Catalysts
5.	The Process of Amending the Rules of Procedure
6.	The Amendments Proper
	6.1. The Amendments of the Commission's Rules of Procedure 386
	6.2. The Amendments in the Court's Rules of Procedure 387
7.	New Aid for Victims
8.	Conclusions
Fre	edom of Religion or Belief is an Asset
	Bahia Tahzib-Lie
Dro	
1.	lotroduction 395
2.	Internal Freedom of Policion on P. U. G. J. O. U
۷.	Internal Freedom of Religion or Belief and Challenges in Practice
	2700 and Amerable Choice of the malyiqual
	2.2. Coercion Impairing Free Choice and Criticism of Religion
3.	and Belief
٠.	External Freedom of Religion or Belief and Challenges in the
	Public Sphere
	405
	Diversity III the Workplace and Dersonal
4.	Use of Religious Symbols
Epi.	Concluding Remarks
1	logue
Fre	edom of Conscience and Tolerance in the Dutch Cultural Tradition
	Kees Schuyt 417
	417
1.	Freedom of Conscience as a Human Right
2.	or selence in the Dulth (intigral 1 and 12)
3.	a since pe of Collection
4.	Freedom of Conscience and Contemporary Tolerance
	424

	tecting the Embankments around Article 8 of the European	
Co	vention on Human Rights	
	Geert Corstens and Reindert Kuiper	1
1.	Landslides in the Area of Law Enforcement	1
2.	Shifting Responsibility	
3.	'Van Dijk's' Test of the Rule of Law and Violations of Law in Police	
	Investigations	4
4.	Development of the Criminal Trial Judge's Review of Lawfulness 43	
5.	The Convention as a Review Mechanism	
٥.	5.1. Article 6 of the Convention	
	5.2. Article 8 of the Convention	
6.	A Practical and Effective Article 8 of the Convention	
7.	Inspiration from the United States of America	
8.	Conclusion	
0.	Concresion	
The	Effect of Article 8 of the European Convention on Human Rights	
	Outch Family Law	
	Sylvia Wortmann	7
1.	Family Law and Child Law: Permeated by Fundamental Rights 44	
2.	Family Life: The Facts Determine the Law	8
	2.1. Family Life According to Dutch Law but Not According to	
	European Law	9
	2.2. Direct Application of Court's Case Law Regarding the	
	Existence of Family Life	
3.	Positive Obligations: A Whole Code of Family Law	2
4.	Effect of (Positive) Obligations of Dutch Family Law	4
	La Cil Da Caratina Human Binkto Droportionality	
	icle 8 of the European Convention on Human Rights. Proportionality	
anc	the Protection of Personal Data Luc Verhey and Mathijs Raijmakers	9
	Luc verhey and Mathijs Ratimakers	
1.	Introduction	9
2.	The Proportionality of Data Processing	2
	2.1. Article 8(2) of the Convention: A General Overview	2
	2.2. Article 8(2) of the Convention: the Proportionality Test 463	3
	Nature of the Data	5
	Status of the Individual Involved	5
	Intrusiveness of the Interference	6
	Effective Control	7
	Measures to Prevent Abuse	8
	2.3. European Union Law	8

The Rule of Law and Violations of Law in Police Investigations.

3.	The Legislature and the Proportionality Test	471
).	3.1. Introduction	471
	3.2. The Proportionality Test in the Legislative Process	472
	Nature of the Data	473
	Intrusiveness of the Interference	474
	Effective Control and Preventing Abuse	477
	Liter Test and Company	
	3.3. Closing Remark: the Proportionality Test as a General Framework	477
4.	Conclusion	478
4.	Conclusion	
Re	sonable Legislation. A Matter of the Rule of Law and Human Rights	
	Anna Jasiak	481
1	Introduction	481
1.	Rationalities in a Legislative Process.	
2.	Reasonable Legislation	484
3.		
	3.1. Substantive Reasonableness European Convention on Human Rights and the Notion of Law	
	Legal Certainty: Accessibility and Predictability	
	Legal Certainty: Retrospectivity	
	Legal Certainty: Consistency and Stability of Legislation	
	Equality Principle	
	Generality of Laws	
	3.2. Procedural Reasonableness.	
	Proportionality Principle	
	Debate/Weighing of all Interests	
	Hasty Legislation/Timing	
4.	Conclusion	. 496
Th	e Right to Information under the European Convention on Human	
	ghts	
	Eric Daalder	. 497
l.		
2.	Introduction	. 497
۷.	Article 6 of the Convention: Access to Information in Legal	
3.	Proceedings.	. 500
<i>3</i> . 4.	Article 10 of the Convention: A General Right to Information?	. 504
4.	Conclusion	. 509
Fı	ndamental Rights, Fundamental Principles and Local Governments	
	Hubert Hennekens	511
1.	Introduction	. 511
2.	The Phenomenon of Local Government	512

3. 4.		nere a Fundamental Right of Existence for Municipalities?	514
		ificance as a Government	517
5.	_	Does One Determine and Guard the Interests that Are to	
		ursued?	519
6.		pectives on the Right of Recourse to a Judicial Authority in	
		er to Secure Local Autonomy	522
		,	J
VI	II. (CONSTITUTIONAL REVIEW	
The	Prio	rity Constitutional Review and Its Relationship to the Preliminary	,
		ce Procedure	'
		hard Lauwaars	527
			J.,
Pre	face.		527
1.	Intro	oduction	528
	1.1.	Priority Constitutional Review	528
	1.2.	Some Numerical Data	528
	1.3.	Relationship with the Preliminary Reference Procedure	529
2.	Back	ground	529
	2.1.	Article 26(4) of the Belgian Special Law for the Constitutional	
		Court	529
	2.2.	The Origin of Priority Constitutional Review	530
	2.3.	The Constitutional Council: Composition and Competencies	
3.	The	Melki Case	
	3.1.	The Facts	532
	3.2.	The French Court of Cassation.	533
	3.3.	The French Constitutional Council and the Council of State's	
		Reactions: the Councils Strike Back	534
	3.4.	The Judgment of the Court of Justice of the European Union	
		Rejection (paragraphs 40–47)	
		Interpretation in Conformity with the Treaty (paragraphs 48–53).	
		Directives (paragraphs 54–56)	
		Conclusion	537
4.	Con		
	4.1.	Main Issue: The Relationship Between Priority Constitutional	
		Review and the Preliminary Reference Procedure	537
	4.2.	Implementation of Directives	539
5.		clusory Remarks	541
	5.1.	The Final Decision of the Court of Cassation	541
	5.2.	Lessons for the Netherlands?	
	5.3.	The French Constitutional Council and the German Federal	
	2.5.	Constitutional Court	542

Contents

Qu	is custodiet ipsos custodes? About the Institutional Position of	
Со	nstitutional Courts	
	Willem Konijnenbelt	543
1.	Introduction	543
2.	The Federal Supreme Court of the United States of America	545
2. 3.	The Federal Constitutional Court of Germany	548
<i>3</i> . 4.	The French Constitutional Council	551
4. 5.	The European Court of Human Rights	556
<i>5.</i> 6.	Final Remarks	559
0.	Tillat Remarks	
Co	onstitutional Review by the Dutch Courts. A View from Kneuterdijk 22	
	Marjolein van Roosmalen and Ben Vermeulen	563
1.	Introduction	563
2.	Dutch Constitutional Law and Fundamental Rights	565
3.		
	Parliament	567
4.	Article 94 of the Constitution: Primacy of Self-executing Treaties	
	over Acts of Parliament	569
5.	The Dutch Court System: A Diversity of 'Constitutional Courts'	574
6.	Constitutional Judgments of the Administrative Jurisdiction	
	Division; Some Reflections on the Council of State's Constitutional	
	Law Committee and its Chairman	578
Li.	st of Contributors	583