PrefaceAbbreviations	xiii xv
Part I: Introduction	1
I. The scope and objective of this work	1
II. The right of access to court under Article 6 (1) of the Convention: the case of Golder v. the United Kingdom	2
III. The meaning of "civil rights and obligations"	6 6
embassies or international organisations	7
a) The case-law of the Court	7
b) Conclusion	10 11
IV. The "Ashingdane Test"	13
1. Legitimate Aim	13
2. Proportionality	15
a) The margin of appreciation	16
b) A margin of appreciation regarding the application of	
public international law?	16
3. The very essence of the right	17
4. Conclusion	19
Part II: International Immunities	21
I. State immunity	21
1. State immunity in public international law	21
a) Absolute and restrictive immunity	22
b) State immunity in international and national law	23
•	

	(1) International Legislation	23	
	(a) The European Convention on State Immunity	23	
	(b) The United Nations Convention on Jurisdictional		
	Immunities of States and Their Property	24	
	(2) National Legislation	25	
	c) Waiver of State immunity	25	
	d) Immunity from attachment and execution	26	
2	State immunity and the jurisdiction of the forum State	20	
۷,	(Article 1 of the Convention)	26	
3	State immunity in the recent Convention case-law	31	
	Alternative approaches to the conflict between State	<i>J</i> 1	
7.	immunity and Article 6 (1) of the Convention	2.4	
		34	
	a) The equality of arms-argument and the role of alternative	25	
	remedies	35	
	b) The comments of Judge Ress in his concurring opinion		
	in the case of "Bosphorus Airways" v. Ireland	36	
	c) Judge Loucaides' approach: every blanket immunity is a		
	disproportionate restriction on Article 6 (1) of the		
	Convention	38	
	(1) Discussion of the approach	38	
_	(2) Conclusion	41	
5.	State immunity in employment-related proceedings and		
	Article 6 (1) of the Convention	41	
	a) The case of Fogarty v. the United Kingdom	41	
	(1) International practice regarding State immunity in		_
	employment disputes	42	j
	(2) The decision of the Court	43	
	(3) Discussion of the judgment	44	
	(4) Conclusion	46	
	(5) The dissenting opinion by Judge Loucaides	47	
	b) Discriminatory immunity rules in employment-related		
	disputes	48	
_	c) The case of Cudak v. Lithuania	50	
6.	The personal injury exception" and Article 6 (1) of the		
	Convention: the case of McElhinney v. Ireland	53	
	a) Domestic legislation and State practice regarding the		
	personal injury exception	54	•
	of international institutional institution and the personal injury exception	57	
	of the restrictive interpretation of the personal injury		
	exception (Insurable personal injury)	59	
	The sor the arried forces of the foreign State and the personal		
	injury exception	50	

	e) Discussion of the judgment
	f) Conclusion
	7. State immunity for serious human rights violations and its
	compatability with Article 6 (1) of the Convention
	a) Practice outside of Europe
	b) Conclusion
	c) The case of Al-Adsani v. the United Kingdom
	(1) The decision of the majority
	(2) The reasoning of the minority
	(3) Jus cogens v. State immunity
	d) Does the UN Torture Convention restrict State immunity
	in civil proceedings?
	(1) A historic and systematic interpretation of Article 14
	of the United Nations Torture Convention
	(2) Conclusion
	e) Developments since the Al-Adsani judgment
	(1) Developments at the international level
	(2) Developments at the domestic level
	(3) The case of Germany v. Italy before the International
	Court of Justice
	f) Conclusion
	8. The responsibility under the Convention of the foreign
	State which successfully invokes immunity in the proceedings
	before the courts of the forum State
I.	Immunity from execution and the right to enforce a judgment
	under Article 6 (1) of the Convention
	1. The right to execute a judgment
	2. Immunity from execution
	3. Case-law of the Court
	a) The case of Kalageropoulou and Others v. Greece and
	a) the case of Katageropoulou and Others v. Greece and
	Germany
	(1) Background to the case
	(2) The enforcement proceedings in Greece
	(3) The decision of the Court
	(a) The complaint against Germany
	(b) The complaint against Greece
	(4) Further developments on the matter
	b) The case of Treska v. Albania and Italy
	c) The case of Manoilescu and Dobrescu v. Romania
	and Russia

Contents

ìx

Content

d) The case-law of the Commission: the case of N, C, F and	103	IV. Im
AG v. Italy	100	1.
e) Immunity from execution and State agencies: the case of Hirschhorn v. Romania	103	2.
		3.
f) Conclusion		
4. Jus cogens and the right to enforcement of a judgment	102	4.
II. Immunities of Heads of State, foreign ministers, diplomats		
and other State officials	107	
1. Immunity of incumbent Heads of States		
1. Immunity of incumpent reads of states	107	
a) The legal position under public international law		
(1) International instruments	107	
(2) Exemptions in the statutes of international criminal	109	
b) The case of Association SOS Attentats and Beatrix	109	5.
•	110	6.
de Boery v. France		
(1) The decision of the Court	111	7.
(2) The conflict between immunity of incumbent Heads		
of State and Article 6 (1) of the Convention in the	111	
present case		
(3) Conclusion		8.
2. Immunity of former Heads of States		
a) The legal position under public international law		V. Ot
b) Conflict with Article 6 (1) of the Convention		in
c) Case-law of the Court		1.
3. Immunity of diplomats and foreign ministers		2.
a) Diplomatic and consular immunities		2.
(1) Diplomatic immunities		Part I
(2) Consular immunities	120	raiti
b) Case-law of the Convention organs	120	r Th
c) Immunity of foreign ministers	123	I. Th
4. Immunity of other State officials	124	1.
a) The legal position under public international law	124	2.
b) Case-law of the Court: the Jones and Others case	125	
(1) The decision of the Court of Appeal	127	
(2) Criticism of the judgment	129	3.
(3) The decision of the House of Lords	130	
(4) The case before the European Court of Human Rights	5,	
Conclusion	131	

	Contents	XI
IV.	Immunity of International Oganisations	132
	1. Reasons for the immunity of international organisations	133
	2. The scope of the immunity of international organisations	134
	3. The conflict between immunity of international organisations	101
	and Article 6 (1) of the Convention	136
	4. The case-law of the Convention organs	138
	a) Case-law of the Commission: the case of Spaans v.	
	Netherlands	138
	b) The cases of Waite and Kennedy v. Germany and Beer and	
	Regan v. Germany	140
	(1) The decisions of the Court	140
	(2) Discussion of the judgments	141
	c) The case of Beer and Regan v. Germany (II)	144
	5. Liability for acts of international organisations	144
	6. Requirements for alternative means in order to satisfy the	
	"proportionality" criterion	148
	7. The "proportionality" criterion in cases against international	
	organisations which are not employment-related: the case	
	of the Association of Citizens "Mothers of Srebrenica" and Others	
	v. the Netherlands and the United Nations	149
	8. Conclusion	153
V.	Other restrictions on the right of access to court for reasons based in public international law	154
	1. The case of Prince Hans-Adam II of Liechtenstein v. Germany	154
	2. The case of Markovic and Others v. Italy	156
	,	
Pa	rt III: Domestic Immunities	159
I.	The liability of public authorities under English Law	159
	1. The former approach by the Commission	160
	2. The initial approach taken by the Court	161
	a) The case of Ashingdane v. the United Kingdom	162
	b) The case of Fayed v. the United Kingdom	162
	3. Immunity of the police: the case of Osman v. the	
	United Kingdom	164
	a) The judgment of the Court	165
	b) Responses to the judgment	166
	c) How the Court was alleged to have misinterpreted English	
	tort law	167

	4. Im	munity of the social services: the case of Z and Others v.	
	the	United Kingdom	
	5. Th	e question of compensation	
	6. Cr	own immunity: the case of Roche v. the United Kingdom	
	a)	The decision of the majority	
	b)	The opinion of the eight dissenting judges	
	7. Va	rious approaches to a resolution of the conflict between	
	"ir	nmunity from suit" and "immunity from liability"	
	a)	The "Gearty thesis"	
	b)	A "common sense application" of Article 6 (1)	
	c)	The "dual limb"-approach	
	d)	Proposal for a solution: the establishment of a "but for" test	
		as first proposed by Francoise Hampson	
		(1) The dissenting opinion of Judge Zupancic in Roche v.	
		the United Kingdom	
		(2) The "but for" test	
		(3) Conclusion	
	8. Ju	dicial immunity in English tort law and in other legal	
		stems	
	1		
Ί.	Parlia	mentary immunity	
	ı Th	e case-law of the Commission	
		e case-law of the Court	
		The content of the remarks by a Member of Parliament	
	u)	(1) The case of A v. the United Kingdom	
		(2) Discussion of the judgment	
		(3) Conclusion	
		(4) The case of Zollmann v. the United Kingdom	
	ы	The occasion on which the statements have been made:	
	0)	the "Italian cases"	
	(ء	The refusal of the request by a Marshar CD 1	
	C)	The refusal of the request by a Member of Parliament to	
		have his parliamentary immunity lifted: the case of	
	3 C	Kart v. Turkey	
	٥, ٥	onclusion	
Sui	nmary		
Bit	liograp	phy	
Inc	lex		